computational mechanisms of decision making: individual differences

nathaniel daw princeton neuroscience institute & department of psychology princeton university

ringberg symposium

learning for decisions



multiple systems for trial-and-error decision making: habits, slips of action, control, & compulsion

- 1. characterizing this distinction computationally via different learning strategies: model-based and model-free RL
- 2. individual variation in these mechanisms: eg. are they compromised in psychiatric disorders?

model-based learning



"model-based" learning:

- learn one-step rewards & transitions;
- iterative, tree-structured computation

"model-free" learning



B

\$25

\$10

shortcut: cache endpoints of computation (long-run action values)

- simplifies choice-time computation (just retrieve)
- these can be learned directly (TD learning)
- standard theory of dopamine, reward prediction errors etc
- intermediates (e.g. partial evaluation)



model-free reinforcement



(Parker, ..., Daw & Witten, Nature Neuroscience 2016)

model-based learning

decision behavior and neural decision variables incorporate knowledge other than reinforcement history; integrate over separate experiences

revaluation



issues

- 1) Can we study this tradeoff with more targeted experimental designs?
- 2) What is the mechanism of model-based evaluation?
- 3) How do these mechanism vary across individuals? Is there a relationship between compulsion and habits?

sequential decision task



(Daw et al Neuron 2011)

idea

Are top-stage valuations mediated by bottom-stage states?

Example: rare transition at top level, followed by win

• Which top-stage action is now favored?







predictions

direct reinforcement ignores transition structure



model-based planning respects transition structure





data

individual subs x 201 trials each











planning



(Daw et al Neuron 2011)



rewarded

unrewarded

data

17 subs x 201 trials each



reinforcement processes

reward: p<1e-8 reward x rare: p<5e-5 (mixed effects logit)

rewarded unrewarded

(Daw et al Neuron 2011)

planning



(Daw et al Neuron 2011)

What controls the tradeoff between these two sorts of learning?





Also:

- Development & aging (Decker ea, 2016; Eppinger ea 2013)
- IQ (Schad ea 2014; Gillan ea 2016)
- cognitive control (Otto ea 2015)
- PFC TMS (Smittenaar ea 2013)
- COMT (PFC DA) genotype (Doll ea 2016)
- Parkinson's disease & meds (Sharp ea 2016; Wunderlich ea 2012)
- dopamine PET (Desserno ea 2015)
- psychopathology (Voon ea 2014, Gillan ea 2016)

what are the neural mechanisms underlying this evaluation?

Is model-based learning really decision by simulation?

decodable stimuli



(Doll, Duncan, Simon, Shohamy & Daw Nature Neuroscience 2015)

catch trials



(Doll, Duncan, Simon, Shohamy & Daw Nature Neuroscience 2015)

prospection (category selective ctx)

RPE (ventral putamen)





body parts



(Doll, Duncan, Simon, Shohamy & Daw Nature Neuroscience 2015)

Signatures of two dissociable neural evaluation mechanisms

- 1. forward search
- 2. error-driven updating

which have the expected relationships to choice behavior

 \rightarrow is this really related to compulsion?

how do these change over development?



see also Eppinger et al. (2013) on aging

(Decker, Otto, Daw & Hartley, Psych Science 2016)

not a failure to build model

explicit ("which planet did the blue rocket ship usually visit?") & implicit (RT) effects of transition model



(Decker, Otto, Daw & Hartley, Psych Science 2016)

Is model-based learning related to disorders of compulsion?



Claire Gillan

Healthy volunteers, n=106



Binge eating disorder, n=30

model-based

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

-0.1

0

model-free

effect size







Methamphetamine/cocaine Abstinent at least 1 wk

model-based

-0.1

model-free

(Voon et al., Biological Psychiatry, 2014)

Impairments in Goal-Directed Actions Predict Treatment Response to Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy in Social Anxiety Disorder Gail A. Alvares, Bernard W. Balleine, Adam J. Guastella*

Brain & Mind Research Institute, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Archival Report

Corticostriatal Control of Goal-Directed Action Is Impaired in Schizophrenia

Richard W. Morris, Stephanie Quail, Kristi R. Griffiths, Melissa J. Green, and Bernard W. Balleine

Journal of Abnormal Psychology 2016, Vol. 125, No. 6, 777-787 © 2016 American Psychological Association 0021-843X/16/\$12.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/abn0000164

Reduced Model-Based Decision-Making in Schizophrenia

Adam J. Culbreth and Andrew Westbrook Washington University in Saint Louis Nathaniel D. Daw and Matthew Botvinick Princeton University

Deanna M. Barch Washington University in Saint Louis



Psychiatry

however...

OPEN 3 ACCESS Freely available online

the crisis in psychiatry

this may reflect a more general problem with psychiatric research – and psychiatric diagnoses

- co-morbidity, heterogeneity
- push toward dimensional, symptom-based view
- hope this will clarify etiology, neural basis

in a general population sample, look for evidence that this relationship is:

- graded/dimensional
- generalizes across diagnoses ("transdiagnostic")
- yet is also specific to compulsive aspect

Large-scale online testing



Amazon Mechanical Turk

Experiment

1. Model-based learning task



Model-Based Learning

2. Self-Report Clinical Scales

OCD: OCI-r (foa et al, 2002) Depression: SDS (Zung, 1965) Anxiety: STAI-trait (Spielberger, 1983)

•••

3. IQ, age and gender



N=1413

Measures



(Gillan, Kosinski, Whelan, Phelps & Daw, eLife 2016)

N=1413

Experiment 2





Factor 2: Compulsivity

"I am preoccupied with the thought of having fat on my body"

"I have racing thoughts"

"I have disturbing thoughts"

Do you often have difficulty in controlling your thoughts?



"I repeatedly check doors, windows, drawers, etc."

"I have gone on eating binges where I feel that I may not be able to stop"

"I buy things on impulse"

"How often ... have you needed a first drink in the morning to get yourself going ...?"

Questionnaire

Impulsivity

Schizotypy

Depression Trait Anxiety

Social Anxiety

Apathy

OCD

Eating Disorders

Alcohol Misuse

Factor 3: Social Withdrawal

"How often do you have 6 or more drinks on one occasion?"







"Meeting strangers"

Do you dread going into a room when other people have gathered and are talking?

"Being the center of attention"



p*<.05 *p*<.01 ****p*<.001

MB learning is selectively linked to compulsion, across diagnoses

- similar results from fully supervised, item-level analysis
- also effects of age, IQ

Compulsive thoughts and behaviors cluster in factor analysis

• relevant to obsessions vs. compulsions?

Of course these are just some symptom scales, and just one behavioral task

- progressively refine both sides
- promise of large-scale online testing more broadly



invest a portion of endowment, win prize if you invest more than opponent

- repeated play (80 trials) against replayed investments from previous subjects
- mixed strategy equilibrium
- learning (e.g. about opponents' move distribution, or which moves work)

Elana Meer; Lindsay Hunter; Ming Hsu



theory, EWA (Camerer & Ho, 1999) nests:

- 1. (model-free) "reinforcement learning", about reward received (or not) after actions
- 2. (model-based) "belief learning" about opponents' likely strategies, (& best-respond)

in this setting, (2) is algebraically equivalent to counterfactual learning about foregone rewards, governed by free parameter δ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} Q_{t+1}(c_t) = \phi \cdot Q_t(c_t) + r(c_t) & \text{for chosen action} \\ Q_{t+1}(u_t) = \phi \cdot Q_t(u_t) + \delta \cdot r(u_t) & \text{for unchosen actions} \end{array}$$

Elana Meer; Lindsay Hunter; Ming Hsu

patent race game



(Zhu et al., PNAS 2012)

In a series of papers using EWA and games like this, Ming Hsu & colleagues (2012, 2014, 2015) have shown evidence for a similar two-system story as with MDPs

- fMRI dissociation between reward and belief learning (striatum, PFC)
- individual differences (striatal vs PFC dopamine genes, aging)

Due to social framing, this seemed like a strong candidate to follow up on social anxiety effects on model-based learning

preliminary results

N=366, Turk sample

- social anxiety, IQ (ravens matrices), 80 trials of patent race
- fit EWA model

parameter δ (rel. strength of MB) increasing in anxiety (p<.05)



Elana Meer; Lindsay Hunter; Ming Hsu



Question: how to account for the goal-directed nature of compulsion?

hybrids and MB/MF interactions

- Dyna & replay (Gershman et al. 2014)
- Pruning/truncation (Keramati & Dayan)
- Successor representation (Daw & Dayan 2015; Russek et al under review)
- MF goal selection (Cushman and Morris, 2015)
- MB as reoriented toward object of compulsion, rather than generally deficient (Voon et al. 2015)

interactions

MB valuations → Dopamine (& PEs)



(Daw, Gershman et al, 2011)



Dopamine \rightarrow MB valuation



- Parkinson's disease & meds (Sharp et al., 2016)
- COMT genotype (Doll et al., 2016)
- PET (Deserno et al., 2015)
- L-Dopa (Wunderlich et al., 2012)

conclusions

- 1. distinguish two reinforcement learning computations in the human brain
 - linked with two distinct neural mechanisms
 - forward search vs error-driven updating
 - fills in detail behind important dual-system models
- 2. model-based learning is linked to compulsion (& tentatively, social anxiety)
 - generalizes across disorders but is specific to a subset
 - broad usefulness of large scale online testing in psychiatry
- 3. many future questions
 - can we understand neural mechanism for model-based computation in finer detail? (animals!)
 - how does interaction work? (important e.g. for drugs)
 - does this give us a handle on other dual-system phenomena and frameworks, e.g. self-control, time discounting?

Lab:

Bradley Doll Claire Gillan Ross Otto Elana Meer Lindsay Hunter Evan Russek Oliver Vikbladh

Collaborators:

Liz Phelps Daphna Shohamy Sam Gershman Ming Hsu Cate Hartley Hugo Decker Peter Dayan Ray Dolan Valerie Voon Funding: NIMH NIDA NINDS McDonnell Foundation Templeton Foundation Google DeepMind